

DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 047 362

24

AL 002 744

AUTHOR Gumperz, John J.  
TITLE Studies in the Acquisition of Communicative Competence. Final Report.  
INSTITUTION California Univ., Berkeley. Language and Behavior Research Lab.  
SPONS AGENCY Office of Education (DHEW), Washington, D.C. Bureau of Research.  
BUREAU NO BR-9-0281  
PUB DATE Aug 70  
GRANT OEC-9-9-140281-0038-(057)  
NOTE 14p.  
  
EDRS PRICE EDRS Price MF-\$0.65 HC-\$3.29  
DESCRIPTORS Bilingualism, \*Child Language, \*Communication (Thought Transfer), English, \*Language Research, Luo, Psycholinguistics, \*Research Projects, Samoan, Second Language Learning, Semantics, Social Dialects, \*Sociolinguistics, Spanish, Syntax, Tzeltal  
IDENTIFIERS \*Communicative Competence

ABSTRACT

A number of studies on the acquisition of communicative competence (the ability to communicate effectively in natural social settings) in varying cultural and social settings are described. Languages covered are English, including urban Afro-American dialects; Samoan; Tzeltal, an Indian language of Southern Mexico; Luo of Kenya; and the Spanish of California Chicano bilinguals. Substantive areas of concentration are acquisition of (1) syntax, (2) semantics, (3) social rules affecting choice of linguistic variants, (4) code switching in bilingual speech, and (5) relation of speech to grammar. The emphasis is on empirical investigations to test current speculations on psychological mechanisms for learning language and other human communicative abilities. (Author/FWB)

EDO 47302

BR 4-0281  
PA 24

FINAL REPORT

Project No. 9-0281  
Grant No. OEG-9-9-140281-0038 (057)

STUDIES IN THE ACQUISITION OF COMMUNICATIVE COMPETENCE

John J. Gumperz  
Language-Behavior Research Laboratory  
2224 Piedmont Avenue  
University of California  
Berkeley, California 94720

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH,  
EDUCATION & WELFARE  
OFFICE OF EDUCATION  
THIS DOCUMENT HAS BEEN REPRO-  
DUCED EXACTLY AS RECEIVED FROM  
THE PERSON OR ORGANIZATION ORIG-  
INATING IT. POINTS OF VIEW OR OPIN-  
IONS STATED DO NOT NECESSARILY  
REPRESENT OFFICIAL POSITION OR POLICY.

August 1970

U. S. DEPARTMENT OF  
HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE

Office of Education  
Bureau of Research

**Final Report**

**Project No. 0-0281  
Grant No. OEG-9-9-140281-0038 (057)**

**Studies in the Acquisition of Communicative Competence**

**John J. Gumperz**

**Language-Behavior Research Laboratory  
University of California**

**Berkeley, California**

**August, 1970**

**U. S. Department of  
Health, Education, and Welfare**

**Office of Education  
Bureau of Research**

### Summary

A number of studies on the acquisition of communicative competence in varying cultural and social settings are described. Languages covered are English, including urban Afro-American dialects; Samoan; Tzeltal, an Indian language of Southern Mexico; Luo of Kenya; and the Spanish of California Chicano bilinguals. Substantive areas of concentration are acquisition of (1) syntax (2) semantics (3) social rules affecting choice of linguistic variants (4) code switching in bilingual speech and (5) relation of speech to grammar. The emphasis is on empirical investigations to test current speculations on psychological mechanisms for learning language and other human communicative abilities.

## Introduction:

The present project consists of a series of studies which explore new approaches to language learning, by applying some of the principles and insights gained from recent work in generative grammar, developmental psycholinguistics, sociolinguistics, and ethnographic semantics to the study of communicative competence.

The concept of communicative competence which derives from and extends the notion of linguistic competence, refers to the ability to communicate effectively in natural social settings. Like grammatical competence, communicative competence is a matter of shared tacit knowledge. But many kinds of knowledge, other than grammar alone, are necessary for the production and interpretation of appropriate speech acts. In any particular situation, speakers must choose among a range of permissible communicative options: whether to employ verbal or non-verbal symbols, what to say to whom and when, what topics to select, what linguistic variables to use, and how to interpret what they hear.

This latter selection process can be treated as an information processing routine (Geoghegan) which has as its input grammatical rules, knowledge of social norms and speech etiquette, and the ability to perceive appropriate contextual clues, and has, as its output, appropriate communicative acts. Linguistic, social, and environmental variables are thus treated within a single integrated analytical framework and not as different entities to be correlated. The focus is on individuals and the shared knowledge which enables them to generate appropriate speech. Although a wide range of observational and experimental methods are employed, the emphasis is on empirical investigation of speech acts in varying cultural and social settings. Speech serves as a resource for both linguistic and social analysis.

Since the problems we pose are new, there is as yet little empirical data to test our approach. Systematic work in language acquisition has been confined largely to middle class speakers of Western languages. Modern sociolinguistics is hardly ten years old, cognitive anthropology has only begun to go beyond kinship and ethnoscience. Our work at the moment is therefore clearly exploratory. The goal is to illustrate gaps in the existing approaches to language learning, to indicate new types of information to be collected, and to suggest how a broadening of present research paradigms can contribute to the solution of some of the basic issues that confront scholars and educators.

The main body of our findings is presented in the papers and reports by individual participants enclosed with this final report. A number of subject areas are dealt with, including: (1) comparative language development, with special emphasis on universals of development in syntax (Slobin) and phonology and on the earliest grammar, (2) semantics, including acquisition of systematic semantic features and of the semantic structure of lexical domains (Talmy, Kernan, Stross), (3) socio-linguistic rules governing the selection of appropriate linguistic forms and their acquisition (Geoghegan, Gumperz and Hernandez, Blount, Tripp), (4) the relation between speech and language, the speech editing

problem (Kay), (5) application to problems of first and second language instruction (Gumperz and Hernandez, Tripp).

Individual papers touch on many of the basic issues discussed in recent writings on language development and language education. Among these are: (1) The relative importance of syntax and semantics in language acquisition, (2) the problem of what is universal and what is culturally specific in acquisition, (3) the mechanisms by which communicative tasks required by the child's life space affect his language, (4) the relation of competence to performance (5) the nature of sociolinguistic rules, (6) problems of field work and data elicitation with culturally diverse populations.

### Analysis and findings:

Source data for the studies are taken from anthropological field work by staff members and students of the Language-Behavior Research Laboratory, University of California. Five of these studies, Keith Kernan's work in Samoa, Claudia Mitchell-Kernan's work in the Oakland inner city, Ben Blount's work in Kenya, Brian Stross' work in Southern Mexico, and David Argoff's work in Finland were directly concerned with language acquisition. Comparable types of data were collected for a variety of language situations using methods and procedures outlined in the Field Manual for Cross-cultural Study of the Acquisition of Communicative Competence (Slobin, 1967). These methods combine observation in naturalistic settings with psycholinguistic tests of various kinds. The approach, however, differs from that of the linguist or psycholinguist working on language development, in that field workers live as members of the communities they study and interpret the observational data that they collect in the light of their own first-hand knowledge of the native socio-ecological situation.

William Geoghegan's work on address systems derives from ethnographic semantic research among the Samal of the Southern Philippines. Eduardo Hernandez spent about a year in tape recording small Spanish speaking children who were learning English through informal contact with English speaking children and staff in a bilingual day care center in Oakland. Additional data on code switching in bilingual conversations were recorded by Hernandez and by Susan Ervin-Tripp in various Bay Area class rooms. Kay's analysis is based on tape recordings of the natural speech of middle class white groups in a variety of settings ranging from formal lectures to informal conversation.

The bulk of the field data was available in the form of partially analyzed tapes and field notes prior to the start of the present project. A major aim of the project was to enable field workers to stay together at a time when they would ordinarily disperse to take on other commitments and to provide a research and learning environment necessary for continued cooperation. In this way it was possible to develop the common frame of reference necessary to bring out the general implications of the work. In spring and summer of 1969, therefore, Ervin-Tripp, Gumperz, Kay, and Slobin worked with field workers on the preparation of four monograph length Working Papers (K. Kernan, C. Mitchell Kernan, Blount, Stross). These Working Papers were made available in November 1969, and approximately 100 copies of each have been distributed to scholars throughout the country. While all four papers share a common analytical framework, each makes its own contribution to the problem outlined in the introduction to this report.

Keith Kernan, using his Samoan data concentrates on the earliest stage of grammatical development, called the "pivot" or "two word" sentence. He reviews his own findings in the light of other recent work and argues that the linguists' attempts to explain the child's linguistic competence

at this stage on the basis of syntactic analysis alone are:  
(1) unnecessarily complex and (2) fail to account for some of the learning processes by which the child's verbal ability adapts to the changing communicative tasks which his environment requires. He finds that recent semantically based models of linguistic analysis, such as Fillmore's case grammar, are more adequate in explaining these influences.

Blount, working with early grammar among the Luo, devotes special attention to the effect of social norms or rules of etiquette on speech performance. Luo society is one in which behavior toward strangers, visitors, non-family members, or even elders within one's family, is severely channeled by rules of linguistic etiquette. Children begin to learn these rules after some of the early stages of grammatical development are complete. Blount shows that this learning of social norms affects linguistic performance causing a significant drop in mean utterance length when speech is measured by interviewers who are non-family members. Clearly, linguistic performance in this case is not simply a matter of knowledge of grammar; knowledge of local culture and social norms is necessary to insure valid data. Blount's results raise some serious questions about the common psycholinguistic practice of using mean utterance length as a measure of verbal development.

Brian Stross places his major emphasis on the child's learning of semantic domains. He concentrates on botanical nomenclature, one of the most important aspects of culture in a largely agricultural society. He finds that the processes involved in the learning of these semantic structures are quite similar to those found by other investigators who have studied the learning of grammatical rules. For example, children tend to overgeneralize, when first learning certain types of naming rules, in somewhat the same way that children learning the rules for past tense formation are at first unable to distinguish between regular and irregular verbs. Stross' work is the first study anywhere on the acquisition of naming rules.

Field work for David Argoff's study of Finnish was completed in summer 1970. The write up of the data will concentrate on semantics and syntax and should be complete by September 1971.

The cross-cultural implications of the acquisition studies are reviewed in Slobin's report (1969). Among other things, Slobin points out the universal features of the two-word stage of grammatical development, noting that two-word grammars everywhere serve a limited and cross-cultural constant set of semantic functions (e.g. naming, demands, negation, describing, possession, etc.). Since the distributional facts of such early sentences do not fully reflect their semantic complexity, Slobin argues that the child's semantic competence is more developed than is conveyed by the surface form of his utterances. Children at this stage, furthermore, show a much heavier reliance on word order and stress and intonation, than on other grammatical devices such as inflection.

Keith Kerman (1970) provides the detailed analysis of the semantic function of two-word sentences in Samoan and also argues for the priority of semantic development over syntactic development. He discusses the

implications of his data for the question of innate versus environmental influences in language learning. He suggests that the child is born with two abilities, the ability to distinguish and name entities in the environment and the ability to recognize that these entities form relationships which, in turn, are linguistically codeable. The actual nature of the linguistic code at any time is the function of the child's cultural experience and of the language input he is exposed to.

Blount (1969) deals with the problem of environmental effects on acquisition from a slightly different perspective. His analysis of speech input to Luo, Samoan, and Black children, suggests that utterances addressed to children are universally simplified. The function of the remarks to children seems to vary. Questions and imperatives abound where children have restricted rights to speaking, whereas statements are more frequent where rights are less limited. This may account for some of the differences in language usage rules.

Talmy and Stross present a componential or semantic feature analysis of some aspect of lexical and grammatical structure in Samoan and Tzeltal respectively. While they confirm Slobin's and Keith Kernan's conclusion regarding the importance of semantics in language acquisition, they go on to point out the amazing accuracy with which children use the highly differentiated and seemingly complex terminological distinctions, such as the many different Samoan words for eating or the Tzeltal numeral classifiers, at a very early age. They note that these usages, which on the surface would seem to reflect a highly complex set of semantic rules, are, in fact, extremely context bound, occurring only in highly limited lexical environments or in clearly defined communicative situations. The picture of the learning process which emerges from their work is one in which the child first learns words within the context of particular sentences. Only at a later stage does he begin to isolate word items from their original idiomatic environments. It is at this later stage, when words begin to be used productively, that mistakes due to over-generalization begin to occur.

Blount (1970) and Arlene Moskowitz deal with acquisition of phonology, another area which has been neglected in recent years. They place particular emphasis on the transition from babbling to early sound systems. Moskowitz focuses on the learning and perceptual processes which lead to the development of the sound system. She points out that, although it has been the practice to talk of phonological acquisition as if it were a matter of learning particular phonemes, the learning of sounds cannot be separated from the syllabic context in which they occur. Phonological learning begins when children begin to differentiate intonation carrying stretches of speech or syllables. Phonemic contrasts then emerge as a result of the child's practicing particular syllable distinctions and his beginning to combine consonant and vowel sequences of different types. The learning of sound systems, when described in these terms, bears striking similarity to the learning of semantic features. In each case, the child starts by learning items in context and then, at the later stage, learns to separate out elements from these learned utterance sequences and recombines them in new ways. All four of the last mentioned papers point to new directions of research in areas in which there exists almost no systematic data.

It has often been pointed out that competence, be it linguistic competence or communicative competence, cannot be studied apart from performance. Any work such as ours, therefore, which attempts to deduce abstract rules of grammar or usage from empirical data must be concerned with the editing processes by which speakers use their own system of rules to interpret actual instances of speech. Kay's work in this area, the first systematic work of its kind, shows that speech editing is rule governed and that editing rules are (a) few (b) simple (c) operate on utterances as linear strings of words, i. e. surface structures. An understanding of speech editing processes is of special importance in the interpretation of language acquisition data which rely on imitation tests such as those discussed by Stross and Claudia Mitchell-Kernan.

Apart from acquisition studies, the second major area of our work deals with social rules of speaking. These rules are a major component of communicative competence, yet so far, little is known about the way in which these rules operate of affect speech performance. Much of our work in this area is therefore concerned with clarifying the nature of social rules of speaking.

C. Mitchell-Kernan deals with teenage and adult speech in the urban ghetto, utilizing tape recordings of natural conversations and responses to imitation tests obtained during four weeks of experimental teaching in Emeryville, California. The non-standard English features revealed in her data are much the same as those found by previous investigators. But Mrs. Kernan goes on to show that while these features are grammatically distinct and thus constitute a separate linguistic system, they are socially meaningful in that they symbolize the contrast between speakers' social identity as blacks and the surrounding white world. She proves her point by showing that in everyday speech, non-standard markers of black speech are not used alone but alternate within the same conversation.

Gumperz and Hernandez deal with language usage within the special context of code switching in bilingual conversation. They demonstrate that what, to the outsider, might seem like random alternation between linguistic forms, conveys definite and easily decodeable meanings. Codeswitching thus is an important communicative device in certain bilingual societies.

Building on Gumperz' and Hernandez' work, Ervin-Tripp has begun work on the development of systematic elicitation techniques to study school children's code switching skills. The aim is to isolate those situational clues which are most likely to trigger codeswitching from one language to another. A corpus of tape recorded material has been collected and is now being transcribed and analyzed. The materials, when complete, will serve to discover developmental sequences in the acquisition of code switching skills.

Geoghegan's papers included in this report are a first attempt to formalize some of the semantic processes involved in communication through such linguistic devices as vocabulary selection, code switching, etc. He shows that it is necessary to distinguish two types of rules: "code rules"

which give the normal or referential meaning of an utterance, and "marking rules" which operate on code rules and result in the selection of an other than usual form of speech, in order to convey such things as affect intimacy, anger, deference, respect, etc. He shows that both code rules and marking rules imply knowledge of a variety of social and environmental information and are applied in ordered sequences. Geoghegan's preliminary data indicates that marking rules and code rules increase in complexity with age through adolescence and early adulthood.

The importance of sociolinguistic work for studies of language development is highlighted in Ervin-Tripp's Social Dialects in Developmental Sociolinguistics, which is enclosed with this report. In this report, Ervin-Tripp covers social problems in field work, i. e. the question of sociolinguistically realistic testing procedures, the areas of dialect and code switching, and social meaning, as well as problems of comprehension and subjective reaction tests. Of particular importance are her remarks on the role of language in the formation of teachers' stereotypes.

An additional paper by Keith Kernan and Claudia Mitchell Kernen explores methods of studying the child's acquisition of social rules through an analysis of insult behavior. Insulting, they argue, requires a knowledge of social norms. The insulting behavior of younger children, as revealed in field data from Samoa and the Oakland Black community, frequently shows errors of over generalization and faulty inference similar to those found in grammar acquisition.

Ervin-Tripp's second report explores the implications of insights gained in language acquisition studies for the problem of second language learning. She points out that social connotation, generated by newly introduced language material and by the manner in which this material is taught, often seriously affects motivation to learn. The language learning process is also discussed, as are the implications of work in sociolinguistics for second language instruction.

Gumperz' second paper explores the implication of his code switching studies (with Chicago and Black English) for the problem of communication in the classroom. He argues that the teacher's failure to understand the meaning in the child's alternation between standard and non-standard English constitutes a serious miscommunication. It may serve to reinforce teachers' stereotypes about the child's lack of verbal ability, or to impede the child's ability to express himself effectively. He suggests that teachers be trained to decode the usage rules of ethnically different groups in order to avoid this problem.

**Dissemination Activities:**

A list of the publications cited in this report is appended. The Language-Behavior Research Laboratory Working Paper series is distributed to interested individuals and institutions all over the world. An additional monograph series is planned to begin in March, the first volume being the dissertation by Claudia Mitchell-Kernan which has been in continuous demand. Some of the papers cited in this report, along with other more recent work by project members, will be compiled in another volume.

Work on the acquisition of communicative competence continues to form an important part of the Language-Behavior Research Laboratory's research program, which is currently financed by a National Institute of Mental Health grant.

## PARTICIPANTS

### Principal Investigator:

John J. Gumperz

### Co-Investigators:

Brent Berlin  
Susan Ervin-Tripp  
William Geoghegan  
Paul Kay

### Staff:

Ben G. Blount  
Claudia Mitchell-Kernan  
Keith Kernan  
Arlene Moskowitz  
Brian Stross  
Leonard Talmy

### Present Addresses:

University of Texas, Austin  
Harvard University  
Harvard University  
University of California, Berkeley  
University of Texas, Austin  
University of California, Berkeley

## REFERENCES

- Blount, Ben G.  
1969 Acquisition of language by Luo children. Working paper #19. Language-Behavior Research Laboratory. University of California, Berkeley.
- 1970 The pre-linguistic system of Luo children. Anthropological Linguistics 12, no. 9.
- Speech socialization in cross-cultural perspective. Unpublished ms.
- Ervin-Tripp, Susan  
1970 Structure and process in language acquisition. Twenty-first Annual Round Table: Monograph series on languages and linguistics. James Alatis (Ed.). Washington D. C.: Georgetown University. School of Languages and Linguistics.
- in press Social dialects in developmental sociolinguistics. Washington D. C.: Center for Applied Linguistics.
- Geoghegan, William  
1969 The use of marking rules in semantic systems. Working paper #26. Language-Behavior Research Laboratory. University of California, Berkeley.
- 1970 The theory of marking rules. Working paper #37. Language-Behavior Research Laboratory. University of California, Berkeley.
- Gumperz, John J. and Eduardo Hernandez  
1969 Cognitive aspects of bilingual communication. Working paper #28. Language-Behavior Research Laboratory. University of California, Berkeley.
- Gumperz, John J.  
1970 Verbal strategies in multilingual communication. Working paper #36. Language-Behavior Research Laboratory. University of California, Berkeley.
- Kay, Paul  
1970 Progress report to John J. Gumperz for submission to The Committee on Basic Education regarding research on the interpretation of ungrammatical speech (Speech Editing). University of California, Berkeley.

- Kernan, Claudia Mitchell  
1969 Language behavior in a Black urban community. Working paper #23. Language-Behavior Research Laboratory. University of California, Berkeley.
- and Keith Kernan  
1970 An examination of the child's acquisition of cultural values through his use of insult - America and Samoa. Unpublished manuscript.
- Kernan, Keith  
1969 Acquisition of language by Samoan children. Working paper #21. Language-Behavior Research Laboratory. University of California, Berkeley.
- 1970 Semantic relationships and the child's acquisition of language. Anthropological Linguistics 12, No. 5.
- Moskowitz, Arlene  
1970 The acquisition of phonology. Working paper # 34. Language-Behavior Research Laboratory. University of California, Berkeley.
- Slobin, Dan  
1969 Universals of grammatical development in children. Working paper #22. Language-Behavior Research Laboratory. University of California, Berkeley.
- 1970 Suggested universals in the ontogenesis of grammar. Working paper #32. Language-Behavior Research Laboratory. University of California, Berkeley.
- Stross, Brian  
1969 Language acquisition by Tenejapa Tzeltal children. Working paper #20. Language-Behavior Research Laboratory. University of California, Berkeley.
- 1970 Tzeltal: Acquisition and componentiality. Mayan linguistics symposium: Sixth regional meeting of the Chicago Linguistic Society.
- 1970 Verbal processes in Tzeltal speech socialization. Symposium on the acquisition of culture. American Anthropological Association. San Diego, California.
- Palmy, Leonard  
1970 Semantic-Componentry and Samoan-Acquisition. Working paper #35. Language-Behavior Research Laboratory. University of California, Berkeley.